

FSC TEMPLATE

PROPOSAL FOR THE TRANSFER OF FOREST STEWARDSHIP STANDARDS TO THE REVISED P&C FSC-STD-01-001 V5

FSC-TPT-60-006 (VERSION 2-0) EN

©2012 Forest Stewardship Council A.C.
All rights reserved



PROPOSAL FOR THE TRANSFER OF APPROVED FOREST
STEWARDSHIP STANDARDS TO THE REVISED P&C
FSC-STD-01-001 V5

FSC-TPT-60-006 (VERSION 2-0) EN

Approved 15 August 2012
FSC Policy and Standards Unit

Foreword

The purpose of this template is to support the development of a proposal and work plan for transferring Forest Stewardship Standards to the revised Principles and Criteria (FSC-STD-01-001 V5). It also serves as a communications tool to inform stakeholders of the transfer process being proposed and to gather input and support for this process.

This template is divided into two parts:

PART I requests general information related to the standards transfer process. **Irrespective of when you plan to begin the standards transfer, it is requested that you submit Part I to FSC by 31 October 2012.** This will allow FSC to know how you plan to implement the standards transfer, how to engage with you in the development of the International Generic Indicators (IGIs), and how to best provide you with support as you implement the transfer.

PART II requests more detailed information related to the transfer process, including a time-bound workplan and budget, contact information for the Standards Development Group and the Consultative Forum, the use of Technical Experts, and the communications plan. Once completed, Part II shall be submitted to FSC to approve the plan for an FSC Forest Stewardship Standard entered for the transfer process.

NOTE: It is important that the intended transfer process is registered with FSC prior to beginning the process; if a standard is not registered for transfer then it may be replaced by the International Generic Indicators once developed and any current national indicators will then be lost.

Please send both PART I and PART II, either in two parts or as one completed template to FSC's Policy and Standards Unit:
Gordian Fanso, FSC Policy Manager for National Standards
g.fanso@fsc.org

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

Please fill out this PART and submit it to FSC by 31 October 2012.

1. Background

Proposal to transfer the FSC Forest Stewardship Standard in *the United Kingdom* to the revised P&C (V5-0).

1.1 This proposal is submitted by:

Name: *Charles Thwaites*

Position: *Executive Director, FSC UK*

Address: *11 -13 Great Oak Street, Llanidloes, Powys SY18 6BU UK*

Telephone: *01686 413916*

Email: *charles@fsc-uk.org*

1.2 Please state the name and position of the person who will manage the transfer process, if different from above: *Not applicable*

1.3 Please state the name and approval date of the standard(s) which you propose to transfer to the revised P&C (V5-0):

*FSC-STD-GBR-02-2011 UK Forests and Woodlands EN
Approved: 1st April 2012*

2. Description of the type of transfer that will be undertaken

Below are four general options for transferring approved forest stewardship standards to the revised P&C. Please select the one you will generally follow and provide a detailed description of how you plan to undergo the transfer process. *Note that the National Standards Development Group in your country is highly encouraged to actively participate in the consultation on the FSC International Generic Indicators.*

- Option A. Basic Model:**
 - Transfer of existing indicators to the revised P&C, as appropriate, plus adoption of the FSC IGIs to fill identified gaps.
- Option B. Advanced Model:**
 - Transfer of existing indicators to the revised P&C, as appropriate, plus adoption of the FSC IGIs to fill identified gaps.
 - Revision of specific indicators identified by the Standards Development Group as needing additional work.
- Option C. Super Advanced Model:**
[Only to be applied in countries where approved national forest stewardship standards do not exist or partially exist, and where there is extensive experience in FSC certification]

- Harmonization of existing CB Standards with any approved or advanced draft national standards.
- Transfer of existing indicators to the revised P&C, as appropriate, plus adoption of the FSC IGIs to fill identified gaps and for specific indicators needing revision.
- Revision of specific indicators identified by the Standards Development Group as needing additional work.

All transferred standards need to be approved by FSC IC before they can be put to practice.

Additional comments: I think Option B seems to be the best description for the UK, because we wish to conduct a gap analysis of the new IGIs/Normative Elements against the recently revised UKWAS as soon as we have the necessary information. This gap analysis will show where the current UKWAS is deficient against the new P&Cs. We will then feed those deficiencies into the UKWAS revision process, which begins next year (end-2013). UKWAS revision is a very time-consuming process -- past experience has shown that it should be scheduled to take no less than 3 years -- which will be completed in November 2016.

3. Timetable

Please fill out the below general timetable based on the type of transfer being planned and the expected initiation date. This is not intended to be the workplan for your standards transfer, but rather a basic overview of your transfer schedule, how it aligns with the IGI development process, and general steps you plan to implement. To best align the standards transfer process with the development of the FSC International Generic Indicators (IGIs), the below table provides the dates and key activities related to the IGI process and the regional outreach being planned.

Please also consider the following in your planning:

- Irrespective of the type of transfer option that is chosen, FSC highly encourages all Network Partners/SDGs to do a gap analysis of their standards to the revised P&C early in the IGI development process and to provide input on each draft of the IGIs with respect to the standards. *I am prepared to do this so long as there are not dramatic changes to the process. If there is any hint of confusion over the way ahead, the UK will not conduct a risk assessment until it is clear what the comparators are.*
- All transfer processes are required to follow similar procedures as with standards revisions/development, including the use of a Standards Development Group and stakeholder consultation.
- More extensive revision or development (rather than a basic transfer) will require greater resources, such as extensive work by the Standards Development Group, extensive stakeholder consultation, field testing, etc. in order to finish within the transfer period. Please discuss any proposals for revision with the FSC IC National Standards Manager.

| Dates | IGI Development Schedule | Activities for regional representatives, network partners/SDGs | Your Standards transfer timetable |
|--|---|---|---|
| 10-14 July 2012 | First IGI Group meeting to launch process and work on Draft Zero | Regional representative participation in meeting and discussions on how to engage Network Partners/SDGs in the process | |
| mid August 2012 – mid September 2012 | Results of first IGI Group meeting available (Draft 0.2) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outreach to Network Partners/SDGs to share results of first IGI meeting and gather input for second meeting • Network Partners/SDGs conduct gap analysis and assess feasibility of IGIs for their standards. | |
| 3-5 October | Second IGI Group meeting to prepare Draft 1.0 for public consultation | Regional representatives share input provided by Network Partners/SDGs | |
| Nov 2012 – Jan 2013 <i>I believe this period is now Feb – Apr 2013</i> | First formal Public Consultation period | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First set of regional workshops for regional representatives, Network Partners/SDGs, FSC staff <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work on filling gaps with draft IGIs • Input gathered for the next draft of the IGIs based on above bullet • Network Partners/SDGs do outreach to national-level stakeholders • For Network Partners/SDGs conducting a parallel process of transferring their standard as draft IGIs are available, this is when a national level consultation on the draft standard might occur | <p><i>Conduct rapid gap analysis of the new IGIs/Normative Elements against the existing UKWAS.</i></p> <p><i>Report gaps to UKWAS Steering Group, which is due to meet on 12 March. Involve other stakeholders as necessary. As long as IGIs and normative elements do not change that much with subsequent consultation, we should know the size of the problem we face in the 3 year revision process which begins end-2013.</i></p> |
| 12-15 March 2013 <i>PSU to re-adjust following timings as necessary</i> | Third IGI Group meeting to review comments | | <i>Monitor situation for changes</i> |
| End march-end April 2013 | Results of second IGI Group meeting available | Outreach to Network Partners/SDGs to share results of second meeting and gather input for next draft of the IGIs | <i>Ditto</i> |

| | | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| May – July 2013 | Second formal Public Consultation period | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Second regional workshop in each region • Continued work at national-level on tracking IGIs in the standards and consultation • For Network Partners/SDGs conducting a parallel process of transferring their standard as draft IGIs are available, this is when a national level consultation on the draft standard might occur | <i>Ditto</i> |
| Sept/Nov 2013 | Third IGI Group meeting to develop final draft of the IGIs for Board approval | Final draft of the IGIs are available after this meeting | <i>UK must have final draft of IGIs, or whatever we are asked to work with, by end-2013</i> |
| <i>Jan 2014 – April 2014</i> | | <i>SDG asks UK certification schemes to provide a final confirmation in writing of Certification Schemes' new requirements for revised UKWAS</i> | |
| <i>Jan 2014 – April 2014</i> | | <i>SDG invite UK certification schemes to undertake International Bench-marking Review and Standard Users' Feedback Review of existing UKWAS and provide a written report on both</i> | |
| <i>Feb – Apr 2014</i> | | <i>SDG prepares and releases short Stakeholders' Consultation Paper based on above and comment received from other UKWAS users during previous 3 years</i> | |
| March 2014 | Approval of the IGIs by the FSC Board | Approved IGIs are available | <i>Monitor situation for changes</i> |
| <i>May – June 2014</i> | | <i>Preparation of Issues Paper</i> | |
| <i>July 2014</i> | | <i>SDG consider Issues Paper</i> | |
| <i>Sep 2014</i> | | <i>Plans and timetable confirmed for preparation of Revision Drafts</i> | |
| <i>Nov – Dec 2014</i> | | <i>SDG begins revision process of UKWAS</i> | |
| Dec 2014, with extensions as necessary | National and CB interim standards transferred to revised P&C | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Finalize standards transfer; send to FSC for approval • FSC to lead on transfer of CB interim standards to IGIs with adaptation as necessary. | <i>Ditto</i> |

| | | | |
|------------------------|--|---|--|
| <i>Jan – Aug 2015</i> | | <i>Revision continues by Oversight Group, probably meeting every two months</i> | |
| <i>Sep – Oct 2015</i> | | <i>Revision Draft of UKWAS issued for 60 days consultation</i> | <i>This draft in P&C ordered version made available to PSU</i> |
| <i>Nov 2015</i> | | <i>FSC UK Board approve UKWAS</i> | |
| <i>Dec 2015</i> | | <i>SDG meet to consider matters arising from first round of consultation</i> | |
| <i>Jan 2016</i> | | <i>Revisions incorporated into next draft</i> | |
| <i>Feb – Mar 2016</i> | | <i>Pre-approval Draft issued for 30 days of consultation</i> | <i>This draft in P&C ordered version made available to PSU</i> |
| <i>Apr 2016</i> | | <i>Pre-approval draft finalized into UKWAS Edition 4</i> | |
| <i>May 2016</i> | | <i>UKWAS Edition 4 rewritten into P&C ordered version for submission to PSU</i> | |
| <i>June – Nov 2016</i> | | <i>Surely 6 months is sufficient for PSU to staff this edition?</i> | |
| <i>Nov 2016</i> | | <i>Launch of UKWAS Edition 4</i> | |

4. What is the estimated budget for the transfer? What are your funding sources and funding needs?

Traditionally the UK has funded standard revisions from resources drawn from within the UK

PART II: DETAILED PLANNING

Please submit this PART of the template once you have defined the details of the transfer process. Note that similar to standards development/revision, the transfer process requires a Coordinator and Chair, a chamber-balanced Standards Development Group, a Consultative Forum, a Communications Plan and stakeholder consultation.

5. Workplan

Using the timetable provided in Number 3 above, please provide a detailed workplan of the tasks, activities and dates for the standards transfer.

You may submit a workplan using a different format as long as you include all the information in the below table. If you do so, then please attach your workplan to this template.

See Workplan at 3 (Timetable) above

6. Funding and resources available, and/or potential sources of funding:

We have already noted that this process has been funded from UK internal resources. In the light of a 10-year track record of revising the UKWAS on scheduled, it is therefore argued that proving availability of funding is not necessary

7. Coordinator and Chair

Please specify who will Coordinate and who will Chair the transfer process. These roles may be fulfilled by one or more people, and should be determined based on the needs of the Standards Development Group.

Please put on the top of this list the individual who is responsible for project oversight (the “Coordinator”). It is expected that this will also be the main contact for the FSC Policy and Standards Unit.

| Name | Role | Contact |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <i>Charles Thwaites</i> | <i>Co-ordinator</i> | <i>Via normal email address</i> |
| <i>Peter Wilson</i> | <i>Executive Chair of SDG</i> | <i>Via normal email address</i> |

8. Standards Development Group

Given the nature of Forest Stewardship Standards in the FSC system, the Standards Development Group shall have 3 separate chambers representing social, environmental and economic interests, with a minimum of two representatives in each chamber, and equal number of people (or equal weighting) in each chamber. Decisions are made by consensus, defined as general agreement in favor of a proposal, plus the absence of a sustained objection to the proposal

The SDG is an extant body called the UKWAS Steering Group. This body uses the term ‘constituency’ rather than ‘chamber’, but the former can quite readily be bracketed into the chamber-structure for the purposes of this proposal. The exception to the strict chamber-structure are what are known as Standard Setting and Labelling Bodies, which include organisations such as FSC UK that cannot reasonably claim to belong to one specific chamber. In line with the implications of the note at the end of FSC-STD-60-006 s4.5, for the purposes of this proposal these bodies are classed as Technical Experts.

Annex B maps the UKWAS constituencies, member organisations, contacts and representatives against FSC-recognised designations of economic, environmental and social chambers and technical experts.

The UKWAS Steering Group reaches its decisions by consensus.

It should be noted that FSC UK is a 3-chambered working group. You will see in all timetable options that the Board of FSC UK has to sign off the final revision before forwarding it to the International Centre. I intend to keep the Board fully apprised of the progress of the revision and will seek its ‘balanced’ input to each revision whenever appropriate. The names of FSC UK Board members can be provided to you on request, as these tend to change from time to time.

8.1 Please provide the below information on the Standards Development Group. The resume should give brief details of their background and knowledge of the FSC system.

See Annex B

8.2 Please provide the working Language(s) of the Standards Development Group:

English

9. Technical Experts

The Coordinator may appoint technical experts to participate in Standards Development Group discussions. These technical experts shall have voice in discussions but no formal role in its decision-making processes. Experts may also be needed to explore specific issues as they arise in deliberations by the Standards Development Group.

Please list below all the experts that will be included in the process. *Note: It is not expected that technical experts will be needed for a basic transfer of standards to the revised P&C.*

Please refer to Annex B for details of the known technical experts who have seats at the UKWAS table. Technical experts, yet to be identified because they may be called in later to assist with the revision, will be notified to you at the appropriate time.

10. Consultative Forum

The Consultative Forum includes individuals and/or organizations reflecting the full range of stakeholders affected by the standard(s). This will differ depending on the national context and the type of transfer that will be undertaken. The following interests should be considered:

See Annex A for the individuals and groups who will comprise the consultative forum. The list includes contact details. As evidence of support for FSC processes in general, all were contacted as stakeholders for both the UKWAS Second Edition (2006) and Third Edition (2011) and the UK Controlled Wood Risk Assessment of 2009.

There should be evidence that these groups support the outcome of the process, such as signed letters from a senior representative of the organization.

It is essential that Non Government Organizations (NGOs) are engaged in the process where they exist, but this does not preclude relevant Government Organizations from contributing.

Please list the Consultative Forum on the below table. Some organizations may cover more than one interest, in which case note this on the table.

See Annex A

11. Communications Plan

Please describe how you plan to ensure that stakeholder have access to information related to the transfer process, and how you plan to engage them in consultation. Note that the communications plan needs to be culturally appropriate, taking into account access to email, literacy, etc.

UKWAS has an excellent track record of issuing press releases at each stage of standard development. We can certainly guarantee that the UKWAS shall be promoted at each stage of its development to all identified stakeholders by email and publicized on the website www.ukwas.org.uk Key 'comms' events for the Fourth Edition are as follows (due to alternative options, we cannot predict the key comms events for the Fourth Edition at this point):

| Communications Event | Estimated Date |
|---|-------------------------|
| <i>Prior to 1st Round of Stakeholder Comments on Existing Standard</i> | <i>December 2014</i> |
| <i>Prior to 2nd Round of Stakeholder Comments on 1st Revision Draft</i> | <i>August 2015</i> |
| <i>Prior to 3rd Round of Stakeholder Comments on Pre-approval Draft</i> | <i>February 2016</i> |
| <i>On Sign-off of SDG Recommendation</i> | <i>April 2016</i> |
| <i>On Receipt of Final Approval from FSC IC</i> | <i>By November 2016</i> |

12. Other elements of the transfer process under the responsibility of the Coordinator

12.1 Complaints and disputes

Complaints/disputes related to the content of the approved standard shall be responded to by the Coordinator, by providing an explanation of why a particular point of view was not incorporated into the final standard submitted for approval, and/or explaining how the point may be raised again in relation to future revisions of the standard.

Complaints/disputes related to procedural issues shall be responded to initially by the Coordinator. If the complainant is not satisfied with the explanation provided by the Coordinator, the complaint /dispute shall be addressed through the FSC Dispute Resolution process.

We undertake that complaints/disputes related to content of the approved standard shall be responded to by the Executive Chairman of the SDG (Mr Peter Wilson), by providing an explanation of why a particular point of view was not incorporated into the final standard submitted for approval, and/or explaining how the point may be raised again in relation to future revisions of the standard. Complaints/ disputes related to procedural issues shall be responded to initially by the Executive Chairman of the SDG.

If the complainant is not satisfied with the explanation provided by the Executive Chairman of the SDG, we undertake that the complaint/dispute shall be addressed through the FSC Dispute Resolution process.

12.2 Record keeping

We undertake that the Executive Chairman of the SDG (or the Coordinator where applicable are responsible for maintaining the following records:

- a) Approved proposal to transfer the standard;*
- b) Names and affiliations of members of Standards Development Group and Consultative Forum, and of other stakeholders that were consulted on the standards during their transfer;*
- c) Minutes of meetings held by the Standards Development Group;*
- d) Copies of public draft standards circulated for comment;*
- e) Copies of all comments received on public draft standards;*
- f) Summary of comments received in response to each public draft, together with a general response to those comments;*
- g) Copies of technical drafts;*
- h) The Standards Development Group report and all comments received on the Standards Development Group report;*
- i) A description of all departures from the specified procedures for standards development, and the actions taken in respect of those departures; and*
- j) The decisions of the FSC Board of Directors, FSC Executive Director and Policy and Standards Unit in relation to the requirements of this standard*

**Annex A to FSC UK's Proposals and Workplan for the
Transfer of the UKWAS (FSC-STD-GBR-02-2011 UK Forests and Woodlands EN)
To the Revised P&C, Using FSC-TPT-60-006 (VERSION 2-0) EN**

Formal Identification of Stakeholders by Category

Introduction

The UK has a well-proven system for stakeholder consultation, developed in 2006 to ensure FSC's approval of the Second Edition of the United Kingdom Woodland Assurance Standard. The list of stakeholders has been further expanded as a result of circulating the United Kingdom's Controlled Wood Risk Assessment in May 2009 and for consultation on the Third Edition of the United Kingdom Woodland Assurance Standard in 2011.

1. Economic Stakeholders

1.1 Owners/managers of large and medium sized forests:

Alvie Estate peter@alvie-estate.co.uk

Moray Estates gw@medco.co.uk

Tillhill Forestry Ltd simon@simonjeffreys.fsnet.co.uk

Coillte Research & Environment alistair.pfeifer@coillte.ie

CKD Galbraith ann.hackett@ckdgalbraith.co.uk

Stourhead (Western) Estate nick@stourhead.com

RTS Ltd Woodland Management rtsadmin@rts.ltd.uk

Pryor & Rickett Silviculture, Woodland Management & Forestry Consultancy
graham@silviculture.co.uk

Forestry Commission GB Functions enquiries@forestry.gsi.gov.uk

Forestry Commission England fcengland@forestry.gsi.gov.uk

Forestry Commission Wales fcwales@forestry.gsi.gov.uk

Forestry Commission Scotland fcscotland@forestry.gsi.gov.uk

UK holders of FSC FM/COC certificates <http://www.fsc-info.org>

Abbey Forestry ajsp@abbeyforestry.co.uk

1.2 Owners/managers of small forests:

Englefield Estate graham@englefield.co.uk

Small Woods Association angelapollard@smallwoods.org.uk

Forestry Commission GB Functions enquiries@forestry.gsi.gov.uk

Forestry Commission England fcengland@forestry.gsi.gov.uk

Forestry Commission Wales fcwales@forestry.gsi.gov.uk

Forestry Commission Scotland fcscotland@forestry.gsi.gov.uk

1.3 Owners/managers of operations of low intensity of timber harvesting:

Forest Harvest (Scotland) feedback@forestharcvest.org.uk

British Horse Loggers doug@heavyhorses.net

Continuous Cover Forestry Group ccfg.admin@gmail.com

1.4 Forest contractors:

Rob Marsh Woodland Services rob@stumpmonkey.com

Confederation of Forest Industries <http://www.confor.org.uk>

Institute of Chartered Foresters technical@charteredforesters.org

Andrew Bronwin & Co Ltd andrew@bronwin.co.uk

John Clegg & Co Chartered Foresters & Surveyors fenning@johnclegg.co.uk

Woodland Regeneration michelle@woodlandregeneration.co.uk

Sustainable Forest Management Phil.morgan@sustainable-fm.com

Wild Resources Ltd, Forestry Consultancy Jenny.wong@wildresources.co.uk

Canopy Consulting Trada Technology Ltd wbw@canopy.u-net.com

1.5 Timber producers associations:

Forestry and Timber Association johnandsusandavis@hotmail.com

British Christmas Tree Growers' Association rogermhay@btinternet.com

Timber Trade Federation tff@tff.co.uk

Coed Cymru (Wales) <http://www.coedcymru.org.uk>

Northwoods (NE England) enquiries@northwoods.org.uk

Yorwoods (Yorkshire & Humber) info@yorwoods.org.uk

Wood Panel Industries Federation akerr@wpif.org.uk

UK Forest Products Association dsulman@ukfpa.co.uk

UPM Kymmene Scotland Simon.Hart@upm-kymmene.com

1.6 Primary processors of timber within all districts:

BSW Timber oliver.combe@bsw-sawmills.com and further contacts as identified by consultation with the Timber Trade Federation UK

2 Social

2.1 Forest Workers:

Public and Commercial Services Union <http://www.pcs.org.uk/>

The trade union known as Unite tgwu@tgwu.org.uk

The trade union known as Prospect enquiries@prospect.org.uk

The trade union known as the General & Municipal info@gmb.org.uk

European Landowners' Organisation thomfost@globalnet.co.uk

Country Land & Business Association mike.seville@cla.org.uk

2.2 Indigenous Peoples:

The UK Government has declared that there are no indigenous people in the UK in the following manner:

“The UK does not have an Indigenous population (as defined by the Special Rapporteur for the United Nations Sub-Commission, José Martínez Cobo, 1986)“

Commonwealth Policy Studies Unit www.cpsu.org.uk

However some small UK groups occasionally prepare a case to be treated as indigenous peoples. These claims are considered by the UK Government from time to time under the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. If any indigenous peoples are recognised within the time frame of this proposal, they will of course be consulted in that capacity.

2.3 Local communities:

Community Woodland Groups and Organisation as listed at : <http://www.woodland-trust.org.uk/communitywoodlandnetwork/aboutus/groupslist.htm>

communitywoodlandnetwork@woodland-trust.org.uk

Small Woods Association angelapollard@smallwoods.org.uk

Countryside Contracts Manager, Surrey County Council rose.younger@surreycc.gov.uk

2.4 Forest recreation:

Countryside Council for Wales h.miller@ccw.gov.uk

National Trust chris.hart@nationaltrust.org.uk

Ford and Etal Estates, Northumberland joicey@ford-and-etal.co.uk

Colin Glen Trust info@colinglentrust.org

Coed Lleol (Wales) coedlleol@smallwoods.org.uk

Ramblers' Association beverleyp@ramblers.org.uk

3 Environmental

Below is our general list of environmental consultees. It is not subdivided into sections (eg, biological diversity, water, etc) because many consultees cover more than one remit:

The Deer Initiative director@thedeerinitiative.co.uk

Kendalls Communication andrew.kendall@kendallscom.co.uk

Powis Estates ttill@powisestates.fsnet.co.uk

Field Studies Council marion@field-studies-council.org

Royal Society for the Protection of Birds Dick.squires@rspb.org.uk

Snowdonia National Park Authority Chris.smith@eryri-npa.gov.uk

Bioregional, Solutions for Sustainability at@bioregional.com

Council for Scottish Archaeology jwordsworth@bigfoot.com

Naturenet editor@naturenet.net

Wildlife Trusts enquiry@wildlifetrusts.org

The Woodland Trust WOPS@woodland-trust.org.uk

The Macaulay Institute enquiries@macaulay.ac.uk

Forestry Commission UK enquiries@forestry.gsi.gov.uk

Greenpeace UK info@uk.greenpeace.org

Friends of the Earth UK <http://www.foe.co.uk/>

WWF UK jyoung@wwf.org.uk

DEFRA helpline@defra.gsi.gov.uk

The British Ecological Society info@BritishEcologicalSociety.org

The Conservation Foundation info@conservationfoundation.co.uk

Natural England enquiries@naturalengland.org.uk

Environment Agency enquiries@environment-agency.gov.uk

Natural Environmental Research Council fvl@nerc.ac.uk

4 Others

Certification Body of: BM Trada Certification Ltd amcgregor@bmtrada.com

Certification Body of: Control Union UK psandys@controlunion.com

Certification Body of: Rainforest Alliance SmartWood UK office uk@ra.org

Certification Body of: SGS Qualifor UK (SGS) douglasorr@btinternet.com

Certification Body of: Woodmark, Soil Association wm@soilassociation.org

Certification Body of: Heartwoods Andy@Heartwoods.co.uk

The Royal Forestry Society of England, Wales and N Ireland rfshq@rfs.org.uk

Professor of Environmental & Forestry Economics, University of Bangor c.price@bangor.ac.uk

Roger Cooper, Senior Lecturer in Forest Products Marketing & Economics (retired), University of Bangor r.j.cooper@bangor.ac.uk

Departm't of Agriculture & Rural Development stuart.morwood@dardni.gov.uk

UKWAS Support Unit peter@wilsonapplied.com

**Annex B to FSC UK's Proposals and Workplan for the
Transfer of the UKWAS (FSC-STD-GBR-02-2011 UK Forests and Woodlands EN)
To the Revised P&C, Using FSC-TPT-60-006 (VERSION 2-0) EN**

UKWAS Constituencies Mapped by Chamber etc

| FSC Designation | UKWAS Constituency | Member Organisations | Member Contacts | Steering Group Representatives |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Economic Chamber | Woodland Owners | Confederation of Forest Industries | Chris Inglis | Chris Inglis - ConFor |
| | | Country Land & Business Association | Mike Seville | Mike Seville - CLA |
| | Forestry Practitioners | Institute of Chartered Foresters | Shireen Chambers | Andy Shirley Priest - ICF |
| | | Confederation of Forest Industries | Chris Inglis | Simon Hart - ICF |
| | Wood Processing Industries | Wood Panel Industries Federation | Alastair Kerr | Chris Inglis - ConFor |
| | | UK Forest Products Association | David Sulman | Alastair Kerr - WPIF |
| Environmental Chamber | Environmental Organisations | Woodland Trust | Hilary Allison | David Sulman – UKFPA |
| | | WWF-UK | Beatrix Richards | Gordon Pfetscher - WT |
| | | RSPB | Mike Wood | Beatrix Richards – WWF-UK |
| | | National Trust | Ray Hawes | Mike Wood – RSPB |
| Social Chamber | Forest Workforce | Unite | Barry Leathwood | Ray Hawes - NT |
| | Countryside & Heritage | Natural England | Emma Goldberg | Jeff Dymond - Unite |
| | | Name of Expert Team | Member | Reference Documents |
| Technical Experts | Standard Setting & Labelling Bodies | Forestry Commission | Richard Howe | FC UK Forestry Standard |
| | | Forest Stewardship Council UK | Charles Thwaites | All relevant FSC st'dards and guidance |
| | | PEFC UK | Alun Watkins | Relevant PEFC st'dards and guidance |

