



1st Stakeholder Working Group (SWG) Meeting

in Jakarta Indonesia, 11 – 14 July 2017

Summary for Public

List of Participants:

SWG members (substantives)	FSC & CET
Aidil Fitri (HaKI),	(FSC)
Diki Kurniawan (KKI Warsi),	Stefan Salvador,
Grahat Nagara (Auriga),	Salem Jones,
Johnly Viktor Sandag (Kahutindo),	Shizuka Yasui
Lafcadio Cortesi (RAN),	
Nur Maliki Arifiandi (WWF),	(FSC Indonesia)
Reza Lubis (Wetlands International),	Hartono Prabowo (14 only)
Sergio Baffoni (EPN),	Indra Setia Dewi (10-11 only)
Sulhani (Titian),	
Woro Spartinah (Jikalahari)	(Core Expert Team (CET))
Peter Hughes (Pearson),	Aisyah Sileuw,
Sam Allen (Fuji Xerox),	Anna Jenkins,



Stewart Begg (Essity),	Karen Edwards
Observers (SWG Alternates) Yumiko Uryu (WWF), Patrick Anderson (FPP), Vicki Bzovy (Pearson, 10-12 Only)	

Overall Meeting Objectives:

- To **agree the ways of working to create a fair and equitable framework** identified in the SEP by the SWG members
- To **review and agree changes by SWG member consensus to the current draft of FSC conditionally approved roadmap** in the light of key stakeholder concerns and previously submitted comments where appropriate
- To **review and agree the structure, content and logic of the roadmap** in relation to a proposed **format for track verification plans**
- To **consolidate and justify logically proposed changes** in a revised version of the roadmap and **share this for clarification and response by APP**
- To agree **key terms of reference** for work by technical consultative forums on **TVP development** and CET for next steps on track verification plans

Please note these objectives were partially achieved, as a revised roadmap was not presented for comment to APP due to decisions made on revising the structure and process for developing the roadmap itself.

SWG conclusion highlights:

- The Stakeholder Engagement Plan (SEP) needs to be further detailed on the relevant rules and roles of “SWG Alternates”. The CET and FSC will make the necessary revisions in the final SEP including consensus and confidentiality protocol regarding alternates. (The revised version will be shared on FSC website).
- The SWG confirmed they will use the following normative filters for determining the baseline for measuring the thresholds of the APP roadmap requirements for ending disassociation with FSC. These are based on FSC normative policy documents and will focus on;;



- Defining categorical violations as per the FSC Normative Framework and FSC values
- Defining the temporal, geographic and normative scope for measuring and categorizing violations
- Defining the rationale and evidence supporting disassociation decision
- Defining the framework for addressing the legacy issues for trust-building measures
- Utilizing FSC Board of Directors guidance for establishing conditions of re-association including:
 - Correction (Demonstration of present conformity with PfA)
 - Compensation (Remedy for past damages and negative impacts)
 - Prevention of re-occurrence (Ensuring PfA conformance over time)
 - Trust building measures (Towards overall business conduct such as credible stakeholder engagement and longer-term risk mitigating plans)
- SWG agreed to use a revised normative structure and format for the Roadmap. This aims to merge and streamline the key requirements already identified in the draft roadmap with the track performance indicators and verifiers. This will be a more readable, logical format for the overall document and will enable the SWG to finalize the roadmap including the verification plans more efficiently. This will also ensure linkages between requirements are appropriately cross-referenced which will facilitate implementation and verification.
- The revised format will use the following normative definitions (adapted in part from FSC-STD-01-002);
 - **Requirements** (Roadmap): *a means of judging whether or not a track principle has been fulfilled*
 - **Indicators** (TVPs): *a quantitative or qualitative variable, which provides a means of judging whether a management unit complies with the requirement. Indicators and associated thresholds define the requirements at the level of the management unit and are the basis of verification*
 - **Verifiers** (TVPs): *Example means of verification for an indicator*
 - **Guidance** (TVPs): *Additional information that assists with the understanding, implementation and auditing of the requirement, indicator and verifiers.*

A sample of the revised draft Roadmap format as discussed by SWG:

Track 2:

Demonstration of agreed remedy to indigenous peoples and local communities for past violations of their traditional and human rights caused by APP

Track Requirement

2.1 APP shall have adequate policies, procedures and processes in place to respect traditional and human rights, to identify and address its impacts on these rights, and to remedy any adverse impacts to these rights the company caused or to which they contributed.



<p>Performance Indicators:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. APP shall develop and implement conflict resolution b. plans specific for the remedy of past violation of traditional and human rights and resolution c. APP shall develop conflict resolution plans for each affected community, and shall be selectively reviewed by FSC d. APP shall develop a process for resolving the conflict, including performance indicators, milestones and timelines e. APP shall develop transparent and accountable grievance mechanisms f. APP shall develop procedures for monitoring and verification measures allowing access to expert advice and advisors that identify, assess and remediate impacts on traditional rights g. APP shall develop procedures that identify, assess that remediate impacts on human rights h. APP shall develop procedures to train staff, consultants and other responsible for implementing the above procedures. 	
<p>Means of Verification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. maps of all APP and supplier concessions with locations of villages inside and proximate concessions; b. demonstration of respect for FPIC for each affected community c. policy commitments to meet APP's responsibility to respect human rights; d. transparent and accountable grievance mechanisms; e. procedures to identify and address impacts on traditional and human rights, including f. remediation procedures. g. procedures to train staff, consultants, and others responsible for implementing the 	<p>Guidance:</p> <p>List relevant guidelines and standards; UN guidance on human rights in agribusiness; ILO 169; UN Guiding Principles on CSR; UNDRIP</p>

- The SWG committed to finalize the Roadmap in its revised format in a period of 12 months. To achieve this the SWG is committed to establishing baseline data for the Roadmap conditions as a matter of urgency.
- APP has committed to receive data requests from the SWG on an ongoing basis and working to provide the SWG with the information it needs to work effectively and efficiently.
- It was agreed by SWG members that in order to discuss, revise and complete the roadmap structure to include verification and guidance the following are needed: :
 - Additional baseline data from APP which can be used to determine credible thresholds. Where data is unavailable or not verifiable, this data can be supplemented from SWG networks.



- Evidence, eye-witness accounts, specimens, documentation and reports from time of the inception of APP forestry operations in Indonesia through to the request to re-associate needs to be gathered to establish baselines for determination of Roadmap conditions which are rationally related to the impact of violations committed.
 - A conditional/implementation framework that states thresholds of requirements for pre and post re-association.
- The following issues from within the existing Roadmap text were identified as needing further discussion, data and development:
 - Conflict resolution and implementation of agreements
 - Compensation for prior harm to communities and individuals
 - Restoration plan
 - Peatland – compensation for past damage and sustainable management for the future
 - Fire – extent of damage to HCV values and prevention of re-occurrence in the future
 - Legality compliance
 - Supply base – past, present and future
 - Suggested changes to the existing Roadmap requirements were discussed for further consultation with stakeholder constituents and a summary of changes table produced. These changes will inform the discussions between now and the next SWG meeting planned for late 2017.
 - SWG agreed the formation of the following sub-groups (SGs): SGs are smaller groups within SWG to deliver some tasks in between the in-person meetings; they may include both substantives and alternates. Sub Groups (SGs) are different from Track Technical Working Groups, who are experts dedicated to advising the SWG on specific Track Verification Plans.

Drafting SG	Revising format of the Roadmap with the existing text and drafting text where there are current in gaps
Project Planning SG	Developing overall SWG work plan for the delivery of required tasks to meet the roadmap
Data protocol SG	Developing data management protocol for use within SWG
NGO Data management SG	Integrating the data owned by different NGOs to cross check with APP shared data to create a rigorous baseline
Legality Benchmarking SG	Identify and update the applicable regulations list based on FSC definition of legality relevant to the scope of the Roadmap



Communications SG	Consider establishing core contact SWG member for working with APP on specific topics, establishing mechanisms for sharing information and content within the SWG, discussing and proposing solutions for managing communication lines between FSC, CET, SWG and APP.
-------------------	---

Next meeting SWG II:

Week of 11-15 December 2017