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This document has been prepared in accordance with FSC-PRO-01-001 (V 3-0)\(^1\), and contains an analysis of the range of Stakeholder groups who submitted comments, as well as a summary of the issues raised (in relation to the requirements), a general response to the comments and an indication as to how the issues raised were addressed.

Please note: This document has been amended to provide updated information on the Advice notes as a result of the separate, current revision of the Controlled Wood normative framework, as well as consultation conducted within the Chain of Custody Program. Updates are signaled by the use of red text.
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\(^1\) Please consult clause 5.12 of FSC-PRO-01-001 V 3-0.
1. **Range of stakeholder consultation participants**

28 stakeholders provided feedback on the consulted Controlled Wood and Legality Advice notes. 13 of the respondents are FSC members (2 Environmental, 8 Certification Bodies, and 3 Certificate Holders). Overall 9 participants representing Certification Bodies, 11 are Certificate Holders, 5 Environmental Organizations and 3 others.

2. **General comments on Advice notes**

Stakeholders requested that the ADVs should follow the requirements of *FSC-PRO-01-001 The development and revision of FSC normative documents*. The drafting process and consultation of the proposed Advice notes started before the publication of the FSC-PRO-01-001 (3-0) but the following steps related to the Advice notes development will be aligned with the procedural requirements.

Some stakeholders are concerned that ADVs introduce significant change in the system, and suggest that they are postponed until the NRA for the given country is developed.

*There is no need for postponing ADVs, as ADV requirements relate to unspecified risk areas assessed either by the NRA or the ‘Company-Risk Assessment’. Additionally, Advice notes are intended to strengthen the CW system before the ongoing revision is completed.*

A number of comments have been considered as informal according to the clause 5.8 of the FSC-PRO-01-001. Comments considered as informal as per bullets a, b and d, were not summarized in the synopsis. This consultation process will not be continued. The outcomes for each consulted document are stated separately below.

3. **Comment summary of ADVICE-20-001-XX Controlled Wood auditor qualifications**

Comments addressing ADV contents indicated:

- Lack of clarity whether requirements consider single auditors or auditor teams;
- Lack of consistency between proposed requirements with requirements for forest management certification.
- Grammar corrections have been implemented where needed.

Result:
Instead of an Advice note a standard interpretation (FSC-STD-20-001) is provided based on existing requirements, to ensure to uniform qualifications of auditors evaluating implementation of the Controlled Wood standards at FMU level. The standard interpretation is available [here](#).

4. **Comment summary of ADVICE-40-005-XX Stakeholder consultation**

Environmental and economic stakeholder interest groups have polarized opinions. Environmental stakeholders support the ADV, while economic stakeholders do not support the ADV, claiming that the consultation process as prescribed in the ADV would:
- require significant effort from certified Organizations,
- be very costly,
- allow for unjustified blockage of CW sourcing,
- cause overlapping consultation efforts by different CHs,

*FSC acknowledges potential difficulties with handling such stakeholder consultations, but confirms that these are crucial for implementation of FSC’s mission and vision. Consultation outcomes may be a valid source of in-
formation when avoiding sourcing from unacceptable sources, thus, the effort made in relation to consultation is legitimate.

The presented Advice note is meant as an interim measure to strengthen the current CW requirements and only provides a clarification and interpretation of existing requirements, aligning the use of terminology with FSC’s P&C. PSU is recognizing that there may be an overlap in stakeholder consultation in certain areas and will consider how to address this concern in the revised CW standards system.

A proposal to accept collective efforts of stakeholder consultation was made.
Stakeholder consultation aims to collect information necessary to mitigate risks in areas that have been designated as unspecified risk. This cannot be replaced by collective stakeholder consultation efforts.

The following feedback has been addressed by changes implemented in the second draft of the advice note:
- Stakeholder concerns about the wording requesting organizations to reach ‘all parties’ during the consultation: a requirement related to stakeholder identification has been adapted,
- Stakeholder pointed out that the ADV does not address whether consultation should be conducted for all MUs sourced from or sampled MUs as required in the annual verification program: a clarification was made that stakeholder consultation is required for sampled units as a part of the annual verification program,
- Stakeholder feedback allowed to identify a gap between requirements of this ADV and ADV addressing public summaries. A requirement to request stakeholder consent to publish comments provided has been added,
- Grammar corrections have been implemented where needed.

Result:
Due to the changes made in the Advice note, as well as stakeholder concerns related to the implementation, a second round of consultation on this Advice note was planned. The contents of the Advice note was additionally incorporated in the National Risk Assessment Framework (NRAF) as an Annex. The Advice note and the NRAF will both documents will be consulted at the same time during as part of the second round of consultation on the revised Controlled Wood framework (target date: March-April 2014).

The Advice note has since been incorporated into FSC-STD-40-005 Requirements for sourcing Controlled Wood as an annex (Annex A) due to changes in the approach taken towards Control Measures. This Standard is currently being revised and is open for consultation until 30 June 2014.

5. Comment summary of ADVICE-40-005-07 Controlled Wood public summaries

Comments addressing ADV contents indicated:
- The need for setting a date for adaptation of existing public summaries: CH will be given 6 months to adapt their public summaries;
- The need to consider confidentiality issues in public summaries: ADV has been amended to clarify that stakeholder details will not be connected to their comments;
- Grammar corrections have been implemented where needed.

Result:
The amended Advice note will be submitted to the FSC Board of Directors for approval at a later stage, along with the ADVICE-40-005-XX Stakeholder consultation, as the Advice note on public summaries deals with issues regulated and introduced in the Advice note on Stakeholder consultation.

The Advice note has been incorporated into the revised Controlled Wood framework – the issue of public summaries can be found in Section 2 of the revised draft of FSC-STD-40-005 Requirements for sourcing Controlled Wood. Further feedback on the requirements of Controlled Wood public summaries can be provided through the current Controlled Wood revision stakeholder consultation process.
6. Comment summary of ADVICE-40-004-XX Verification of controlled inputs materials

Some stakeholders support the Advice note as it recognises the value of the originally FSC certified material, while others point out that the Advice note is not needed, arguing that the CW standard should be applied where there is a break in the chain of custody.

Several suggestions for amendments were made.

**Result:**
Considering the stakeholder feedback FSC has put this Advice note on hold, to further investigate the issue as part of the revision process of the FSC Chain of Custody certification standard FSC-STD-40-004.

7. Comment summary of ADVICE-40-004-XX Pre-consumer reclaimed wood compliance with timber legality

Comments addressing ADV contents indicated:

- The need to clarify the scope of the Advice note and terminology used
- Some stakeholders raised concerns that the Advice note will have significant impact on certain Certificate Holders, such as producers of panel products.
- FSC was asked to postpone implementation of this Advice note and address this issue as part of the revision process of FSC-STD-40-004 or allow for a long transition period before making the requirements effective.

FSC limited the scope of the Advice note to the EUTR and clarified that “paper scraps” is currently the only pre-consumer reclaimed material that is excluded from the EUTR scope. The Lacey Act allows a “Special Use Designation” to be applied, not requiring identification of the origin and species of “composite, recycled, reused and/or reclaimed materials”. The Australian Prohibition Act has not yet provided sufficient guidance on the product scope of the legislation.

To facilitate implementation of the Advice note the scope was further limited to only require the material to be controlled for Controlled Wood category 1 (illegally harvested wood). It also allows Certificate Holders to apply the requirements for co-products outlined in ADVICE-40-005-20 for the risk assessment of pre-consumer reclaimed wood.

In a second step FSC conducted another round of targeted consultation with the stakeholders that provided comments on this Advice note during the first round. According to stakeholder feedback concerns about the impact of this Advice note on CHs persist.

**Result:**
FSC acknowledges stakeholder concerns, but cannot delay implementation of this Advice note as harmonization of FSC standards with timber legality legislation needs to be ensured.

The Advice note was revised and has been consulted for a second time within the FSC CoC program. The FSC CoC program is currently reviewing the results of the consultation process and will be creating a final draft.